### Call to Order
- Meeting was called to order at 6:00 p.m. by Mr. Loach, Chair. Other members present were Mr. Morris, Mr. Franco, Mr. Smith, Mr. Lafferty, Ms. Porterfield, and Mr. Zobrist, Vice Chair. Ms. Monteith was present.
- Staff members present were Bill Fritz, Megan Yaniglos, Lee Catlin, Phil Custer, Susan Stimart, Wayne Cilimberg, and Greg Kamptner.

### Committee Report
- Mr. Morris reported that the missions have been altered quite a bit for the advisory committees for Crozet, Pantops, and the Village of Rivanna when it comes on line. Mr. Loach noted that staff would cover that issue in the review of the Board actions.
- Mr. Lafferty reported that CHART met and received an interesting presentation on ITE, which is the Intelligent Transportation Systems. He announced the following: Governor McDonnell proclaimed September 12 – 18 an official Virginia Cycling and Pedestrian Awareness Week.

Last Thursday a press conference was held to announce the results of Bike Charlottesville’s Bicycling Survey. A majority of the ~600 participants (UVA students on summer break) want better, safer connectivity between destinations. In Virginia, 9.6% of all trips are by bike or foot.

These forms of transit make up an important part of the way we move about. Why do we share the road so poorly and not include more design for human powered transit in our planning?

Have you ever tried to cross 29 N as a pedestrian or on a bicycle? Our roads divide our county into isolated sections for the cyclist and pedestrian.

Where is the concept of Complete Streets and connectivity?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agenda Item/Action</th>
<th>Follow-up Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Call to Order.</td>
<td>Staff:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Meeting was called to order at 6:00 p.m. by Mr. Loach, Chair. Other members present were Mr. Morris, Mr. Franco, Mr. Smith, Mr. Lafferty, Ms. Porterfield, and Mr. Zobrist, Vice Chair. Ms. Monteith was present.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Staff members present were Bill Fritz, Megan Yaniglos, Lee Catlin, Phil Custer, Susan Stimart, Wayne Cilimberg, and Greg Kamptner.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Committee Report</td>
<td>• No action required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Mr. Morris reported that the missions have been altered quite a bit for the advisory committees for Crozet, Pantops, and the Village of Rivanna when it comes on line. Mr. Loach noted that staff would cover that issue in the review of the Board actions.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Mr. Lafferty reported that CHART met and received an interesting presentation on ITE, which is the Intelligent Transportation Systems. He announced the following: Governor McDonnell proclaimed September 12 – 18 an official Virginia Cycling and Pedestrian Awareness Week.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Last Thursday a press conference was held to announce the results of Bike Charlottesville’s Bicycling Survey. A majority of the ~600 participants (UVA students on summer break) want better, safer connectivity between destinations. In Virginia, 9.6% of all trips are by bike or foot.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• These forms of transit make up an important part of the way we move about. Why do we share the road so poorly and not include more design for human powered transit in our planning?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Have you ever tried to cross 29 N as a pedestrian or on a bicycle? Our roads divide our county into isolated sections for the cyclist and pedestrian.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Where is the concept of Complete Streets and connectivity?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
…….What happened at Old Trail? There are no bike lanes. The explanation given was that the sidewalks were made extra wide to accommodate both pedestrians and bicycles. We need, as a Planning Commission, the opportunity to catch these types of errors.

We should make it our responsibility to make sure that our neighborhoods are safe, connected and all new construction complies with the concept of complete streets (multi-modal transportation).

3. **From the Public: Matters Not Listed for Public Hearing on the Agenda.**

- None

4. **Review of Board of Supervisors Meeting**  
  – September 1, 2010 & September 8, 2010

- Concerns were expressed by several Commissioners about the changes to meeting frequency and the charge of community advisory councils necessitated by reduced staff resources.

5. **Consent Agenda**

   a. **Review of the High Mowing Agricultural and Forestal District:** Periodic (10-year) review. The district includes the properties described as Tax map 84, parcel 69A; tax map 85, parcels 33B, 39, 39A1, 39H, 41A, 41A1. (Eryn Brennan)

   - **APPROVED CONSENT AGENDA item a.,** by a vote of 7:0, to refer the District Review to the Agricultural and Forestal Advisory Committee.

   b. **SDP-2010-00054 Ourada, Norman – Critical Slopes Waiver** - Request to approve a building site for a single-family home and associated septic system within critical slopes; a total of 9,000 +/- square feet of critical slope disturbance is proposed. TAX MAP/PARCEL: 88-14A (Elizabeth Marotta)

   - **REMOVED FROM CONSENT AGENDA AND APPROVED,** by a vote

   Clerk:

   - No action required

   - No action required (Minutes will reflect concerns.)

   - Action Letter – Item a. - Schedule with the Agricultural and Forestal District Advisory Committee.

   - Action Letter – Item b. - Set Out Conditions of Approval (Attachment 1)
of 5:2 (Porterfield and Lafferty nay), subject to the conditions recommended in the staff report and based on the unusual circumstances of this lot with the understanding that staff will get a sketch plan with the building permit. (Attachment 1)

6. **Presentation:**
   **Economic Vitality Action Plan**  
   (Lee Catlin)

   Lee Catlin and Susan Stimart made a presentation on the Economic Vitality Action Plan. The Commission received a PowerPoint presentation, asked questions and provided comments. There was no public comment and no formal action was taken.

   **Staff:**  
   Staff to proceed in process and take the Commission’s comments into consideration. *(Minutes will reflect Individual Comments and Suggestions)*

7. **Work Session:**
   **SUB/Site Plan Process Improvements.**  
   Staff’s recommendations for improving the Site Plan and Subdivision processes in response to the Board’s Direction.  
   (Bill Fritz)

   The Planning Commission held a work session to receive staff’s presentation and recommendations for improving the Site Plan and Subdivision review process as presented to the Board. The Planning Commission discussed, took public comment, asked questions and provided comments and suggestions.

   No formal action was taken.

   **Staff:**  
   Staff to proceed in process and take the Commission’s comments into consideration. *(Minutes will reflect Individual Comments and Suggestions)*

8. **Old Business**
   - As a follow up to the development review process considerations, staff will be bringing back to the Commission information on possible changes to the SP/ZMA process.
   - Staff asked Commissioners to contact staff by the Monday morning before its meeting if they want to pull a consent agenda item so that the applicant can be contacted and staff prepared to answer questions.

   **Clerk:**  
   • No action required

9. **New Business**
   - Request made for staff to continue trying to consolidate meetings when there are a few items on the agenda.
   - Next Meeting – September 21, 2010

   **Clerk:**  
   • No action required
10. Adjourn to September 21, 2010, 6:00 p.m., Auditorium, Second Floor, County Office Building, 401 McIntire Road, Charlottesville, Virginia.
   • The meeting was adjourned at 9:02 p.m.

Attachment 1 – Consent Agenda Item b. - Conditions of Approval for SDP-2001-00054 Norman Ourada Critical Slopes Waiver
Attachment 1 –

Consent Agenda Information – Conditions of Approval for SDP-2010-00054 Norman Ourada Critical Slopes Waiver

The Planning Commission removed SDP-2010-00054 from the consent agenda and approved, by a vote of 5:2 (Porterfield and Lafferty nay), subject to the conditions recommended in the staff report and based on the unusual circumstances of this lot with the understanding that staff will get a sketch plan with the building permit subject to the following conditions recommended in the staff report.

1. Limits of disturbance shall be in general conformance with the exhibit provided by the applicant (exhibit dated August 16, 2010 attached).
2. If, in the future, additional clearing for the reserve drainfield is required (location as shown on exhibit mentioned above) an amendment to this waiver is not needed.